Associate Professorship of Travel Behavior TUM School of Engineering and Design Technical University of Munich

# Person Daily Vehicle Kilometres Travelled Trends in the USA, Victoria, Australia and Germany

Analysis to explore changes over the past 15-25 years

Kieran Mann, Rolf Moeckel, Greg Erhardt

### **Definition - Person daily vehicle kilometres travelled**

Average daily vehicle kilometres travelled by a person in a day in a week. Where a trip is shared with household members, the distance is equally distributed amongst household members. This discounts non-typical daily travel such as long trips.

### Aim

TIT

Assess changes in travel behaviour using revealed average daily person vehicle kilometres with data from Victoria, Australia, Germany and the USA. This change is to be explored to understand consistency in travel behaviour at an aggregate and disaggregate levels when considering the household location and household income.







- Revise to daily travel patterns:
  - USA retain existing recordings/weighting
  - Victoria combined weekend and weekday weights to create daily travel
  - Germany divided total distance by 7 as it is a week-long survey
- Cleaned data (removed errors/outliers)
- Revised weights (separate to above)



.



**Cross-sectional linear regression model with time-effects.** 

Years modelled																							the state of the s						
	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	
Victoria												4	Х		Х	×*		Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	*	*	
Germany		Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	X	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	X	
USA	Х						Х						1	1	Х	b.							Х				Å	Х	÷



Consider fixed travel behaviour for the base year applied to future population composition for each observed year. This fixed travel behaviour is used to estimate how people would travel in a counterfactual scenario – being alternative years to 2017.

Compare the counterfactual scenarios to the observed scenarios to draw conclusions on changes in travel behaviour.

### **Observed Average Distance Travelled**



10



#### **Distance Travelled + 75th and 25th Percentiles**

11



#### **Deviation of the Estimated to the Observed Distance Travelled**



13

#### **Distance Travelled by Household Location**



#### **Deviation of the Estimated to the Observed Distance Travelled**



#### **Distance Travelled by Household Income**



#### **Deviation of the Estimated to the Observed Distance Travelled**



## **Key Takeaways**

#### Aggregate Level

 German travel is relatively stable, USA has seen a reduction in reliance with vehicles and Victoria has seen an increase in reliance of vehicles

#### **Household Location**

In Victoria and the USA, inner urban areas have seen a greater change in travel behaviour, than in outer urban areas.
In Germany, potentially because of the already high usage of transit and active transport, behaviour has been more stable in these areas.

#### Household Income

- In all three locations higher income households have seen more stable travel behaviour.
- Lower income households in Germany see a high level of variability, and difference, whereas in the USA and Victoria, it does differ, but not to such a large extent.

Overall, this has shown that in the various countries, there has been more stability in German travel behaviour, with the USA and Victoria seeing greater differences.

### Limitations

- The condensation of variables was not perfectly aligned. Finding an exact match between the three datasets was not always feasible or appropriate. The most appropriate selection was made. However, there would be some overlaps. Examples include education level and household location.
- German MOP data has a small sample size annually, and this exercise may have been better undertaken using MiD data.
- In the USA, aggregate VMT per capita is relatively stable compared to what was observed in the NHTS data. This may reflect the NHTS data being more accurate or inaccurate; theories have been considered both ways.
- In Victoria, data is only available from 2007 onwards, with the 2007 and 2009 surveys being undertaken in a different style to the surveys later on, potentially resulting in some bias in the results (household income was not collected, along with other information requiring greater levels of assumptions when condensing the variables).