Identifying which Street Characteristics Promote Walking for Transport - ...





UNIVERSITEIT GENT

Benjamin Beirens

... A think-aloud study in Virtual Reality.

Supervisors: Prof. dr. Delfien Van Dyck & Prof. dr. Benedicte Deforche

Results

Methods



Introduction

Conclusion



Background

Importance of an encouraging physical environment.



Macro-environmental fact

e.g. Neighbourhood walkability

speed

GENT





e.g. sidewalk, greenery and trees, tr









Aim

GENT

UNIVERSITEIT



To determine the usability of VR to investigate critical microscale street characteristics





To identify which street characteristics are important in creating safe and attractive environments







Recruitment

Convenience sampling

- Social media
- Friends & Family

Snowball sampling





> Introduction

Set-up













Think-aloud method

Open question:

Why do you or don't you like to walk here?

 \rightarrow Identifying factors

Neutral cues

UNIVERSITEIT

GENT

- What do you think about the environment? •
- Keep talking •
- What are you thinking? \bullet

Additional Questionnaire

- Score for safety and invitingness of environment •
- VR set-up and test •
- Self reported walking behavior •
- Socio-demographics •







Analysis



Qualitative deductive content analy

Study sample

Age i	in years
Ν	Mean ± Standard deviation
F	Range
Sex ((%)
Ν	/lale
F	emale
Walk	ing as active transport (%)
Ν	Not at all
L	ess than once a month
1	-3 days per month
1	-2 days per week
3	3-4 days per week
5	5-7 days per week
Walk	ing as recreation (%)
Ν	Not at all
L	ess than once a month
1	-3 days per month
1	-2 days per week
3	-4 days per week
5	5-7 davs per week



Methods



n	=:	37

32.81 (20.09)
12 – 73
51.4
48.6
10.8
10.8
8.1
21.6
29.7
18.9
5.4
16.2
27.0
27.0
13.5
10.8

VR experience

Sence of presence (score on 5-point Likert scale)*

Mean ± Standard deviation

How realistic is the VR environment (score on 5-point Likert scale)*

Mean ± Standard deviation

Virtual reality sickness (score on 5-point Likert scale)*

Mean ± Standard deviation

Task load for walking on the VR-treadmill (out of 100)**

Mean ± Standard deviation

Time spent in VR (min)

Mean ± Standard deviation



* A higher score indicates a higher sense of presence, higher score on how realistic the VR environment is, and higher feeling of virtual reality sickness.





n=37
3.54 (0.37)
3.65 (0.48)
1.72 (0.49)
33.24 (16.17)
7 (2.4)











- P: "I don't really find all those black facades pleasant either. They're actually a bit blind facades, so, I think that just takes the life out of the street." – F 32 • P: "I think it is a beautiful place. There are beautiful houses" – F 12

Decay:

- P: "I think it is a rather shady neighborhood, generally speaking. Those bars, quite special, well, that over there looks like a rather decayed backyard." – M 31
- P: "Nice trash cans. It's bad for the environment when you throw something on the ground " \bullet **M 12**





Aestheti

Natural elements:

- P:"The trees are... really nice. Yeah, finally a bit greener." F 41
- P: "Here I do see a bit more trees, like in that little square. I see 4 or 5 trees and then some \bullet plants. I find that nice to walk." – M 12

Openness:

- P: "No, I like that square, that square is... That square, it's much more open." M 61
- P: "I like walking here because it's quite open." F 57 ullet





- P: "A crossing is always good. Because then you can cross the road safely." F 21
- P: "There are also many places where you can cross. I think that's good." F 20 Legibility:
- P: "Those cobblestones just indicate that you can park. And I find that orderly too. Just that ulletit's a demarcation. That also doesn't lead to any discussions." – M 35
- P: "Here it's a bit unclear where I should walk." M 24

Width:

• P: "Here I have to walk on the parking spaces to pass those people. So, it's very narrow here.

For two people to walk." – M 33

P: "I think it's a very wide sidewalk. So actually, I find that very pleasant." - F 31 GENT P= participant, R=researcher







- P: "Those poles are a serious hindrance." F 27
- P: "Too many traffic signs. They could centralize them all and do it differently." M 68 ulletSidewalk quality:
- P: "Here, for example, there's an unevenness in the sidewalk. That might be a risk for tripping, but also for example, for wheelchair users." – F 32
- P: "Well, the surface is already quite good."– F 72 \bullet











Barriers - separation:

- P: "Those poles provide some kind of separation towards the cars. I think that is positive." F \bullet 55
- P: "I'm far enough from the cars as a pedestrian here, at least at this point. I think it's much \bullet safer. If I would be walking here with a stroller or with children, I would find that pleasant." – F 31

Busy traffic:

- P: "I find it a fairly busy street, and I don't really like that." F 12
- P: "It's also not too crowded on the sidewalk, so I don't feel like I have to walk around people." lacksquare



GENT



- P: "Yeah, um, it's good that there are streetlights, so you can see at night when you go for a walk." F 14 \bullet
- P "I think the bike parking is quite nice. It gives a good feeling; I don't know why." R: "That is nice a a \bullet pedestrian?" P: "Yeah, I don't know, I like it. It's just the feeling of... There are people cycling, I find that nice."– M 17







Conclusion

VR in combination with an omnidirectional treadmill can be used as a new method to identify which street characteristics promote walking

Applicable to different sub-groups of pedestrians Safe and standardized scenarios Easily adaptable



What about smell, evenness, slope...?

 \rightarrow Overall score on sense of presence and realism is still good



Accessible tool for citizen-based science



> Introduction

Future Plans



















This research is funded by **BOF - Ghent University**



Department of Movement and Sports Sciences - Physical Activity and Health Ghent University - Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences

www.linkedin.com/in/benjamin-beirens