MaaS acceptance in global South cities:

Comparison of Bogota, Barranquilla (Colombia) and Manila (Philippines)

Mauricio Orozco Fontalvo, Marc Hasselwander, Lenin Bulla, Sonia Mangones, Filipe Moura



Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia

CERIS: Investigação e Inovação em Engenharia Civil para a Sustentabilidade



Introduction

$\bullet \quad \bullet \quad \bullet \quad \bullet \quad \bullet$

MaaS has been mainly studied in highincome cities with extensive available transport infrastructures, like in Europe or Australia.

The few studies conducted in the Global South focus mainly on barriers and policies, adaptations and supply-side considerations.

However, there is no research on USERS' perspective or preferences on MaaS in this region.



Objective

 $\bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet$

Analyze the interest on MaaS in global South cities and understand factors that contribute to citizens' adoption intention.

2

Compare results from Bogota, Barranquilla and Manila to better outline different user preferences across various population groups derive better insights into the overall potential of MaaS in the global South.

Methodology

RP-SP survey hosted on Limesurvey. (15 to 20 min "too long")

Sections:

- The EUTAUT (Venkatesh, Thong, Xu, 2012).
- "Buy a feature" design thinking technique.
- Multimodal commute stress scale.
- Travel patterns
- Sociodemographics

The survey was applied in Bogota, Barranquilla, and Manila. Specifically, we gathered a total of 753, 549, and 865 responses from these respective cities. Out of these responses, we attained 467, 316, and 473 complete responses respectively. 

A SEM was estimated per city.



MaaS Acceptance: cities involved

$\bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet$









Barranquilla -

– Manila

Bogotá







Cities characteristics

$\bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet$

Statistic	Bogotá, Colombia	Barranquilla,Colombia	Manila, Philippines
Population	8 million	nillion 1.2 million 13.2 m	
Area (km²)	1587 154		636
Language	Spanish	Spanish	Filipino, English
GDP per Capita	12117	6275	5500
GINI Index (2019)	0.53	0.46	0.42
Elevation (m)	2640	18	16
Average Temperature	8°C - 20°C	24°C - 35°C	25°C - 31°C
Main Transportation	TransMilenio (bus rapid transit), taxis, private cars	Buses, private car, BRT, mototaxi	Jeepneys, buses, taxis, tricycles
Shared mobility services	Yes	No	Yes
Integrated transport	BRT, Bus and Cable car	No	No

*Münich: 1.4 million, 310 Km²

Results



Sults



Results



Results



SEM presentation

 $\bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet$



MODEL RESULT

Bogotá



	Estimate	Std.Err	z-value	P(> z)
Perform	~			
Emplodum	-0.192	0.073	-2.631	0.009
longcmmt	-0.150	0.079	-1.913	0.056
Effort	0.204	0.096	2.131	0.033
Socinf	0.392	0.065	6.006	0.000
Effort				
Age	-0.019	0.003	-6.878	0.000
incm_hgh	0.171	0.099	1.716	0.086
Chldrndm	-0.296	0.104	-2.840	0.005
Hedonic	~			
Socinf	1.181	0.140	8.441	0.000
MSStress	-0.065	0.018	-3.597	0.000
Trust				
Hedonic	1.135	0.132	8.582	0.000
SusAwr				
Socinf	0.315	0.078	4.057	0.000
incm_hgh	-0.248	0.100	-2.476	0.013
Socinf				
Trust	-2.860	3.031	-0.944	0.345
IntUse				
Trust	0.036	0.082	0.442	0.659
Perform	0.570	0.058	9.905	0.000
Effort	0.026	0.056	0.455	0.649
Socinf	0.144	0.110	1.315	0.188
SusAwr	0.178	0.048	3.697	0.000
Hedonic	0.087	0.163	0.535	0.592
MSStress	0.079	0.018	4.438	0.000
Age	-0.002	0.003	-0.682	0.495
Emplodum	0.116	0.081	1.439	0.150
	0.445	0.000	4 550	0.4.24
GendFem (Age)	0.145	0.093	1.552	0.121
knowMaaS	0.243	0.103	2.365	0.018
	0.040			
RIMSEA	0.048			
	0.994			
CFI	0.990			



Estimate	Sta.Err	z-value	P(> Z)	
Perform				
Pets	-0.150	0.086	-1./44	0.081
Ingcmmt	0.386	0.124	3.108	0.002
Effort	1.025	0.116	8.808	0.000
Trust				
MSStrss	0.051	0.026	1.990	0.047
Ingcmmt	-0.072	0.057	-1.275	0.202
Socinf	0.263	0.134	1.965	0.049
Effort	0.720	0.223	3.230	0.001
Emplodm	0.099	0.058	1.719	0.086
Effort				
PTUSER	0.088	0.053	1.674	0.094
Trust	0.800	0.102	7.807	0.000
Carown	0.051	0.037	1.379	0.168
IntUse				
Trust	0.340	0.109	3.110	0.002
Perform	0.260	0.067	3.882	0.000
Effort	0.107	0.121	0.885	0.376
Socinf	0.306	0.078	3.904	0.000
MSStrss	0.082	0.020	4.148	0.000
Age (GndF)	0.010	0.007	1.514	0.130
Emplodm	0.110	0.085	1.300	0.194
PTUSER	0.169	0.096	1.760	0.078
GendFem	0.044	0.097	0.452	0.651
knowMaS	0.065	0.093	0.700	0.484
Carown	-0.071	0.085	-0.834	0.404

MODEL RESULT

Barranquilla



	Estimate	Std.Err	z-value	P(> z)
Perform				
Effort	0.785	0.072	10.917	0.000
housesz_Eldrly	-0.162	0.069	-2.345	0.019
Motoown	-0.191	0.110	-1.740	0.082
SusAwr				
MSStress	0.072	0.014	5.242	0.000
income_lowdum	-0.148	0.103	-1.437	0.151
Hedonic				
Undergraddum	-0.368	0.171	-2.154	0.031
Motoown	-0.193	0.117	-1.648	0.099
MSStress	0.116	0.017	6.667	0.000
Creditcard	0.331	0.120	2.760	0.006
Trust	~			
MSStress	0.048	0.015	3.286	0.001
knowMaaS	0.346	0.112	3.103	0.002
Creditcard	0.199	0.119	1.677	0.094
Effort				
Age	-0.007	0.005	-1.459	0.145
Pets	0.291	0.106	2.739	0.006
Hedonic	0.654	0.096	6.789	0.000
Socinf				
income_highdum	0.469	0.250	1.879	0.060
Trust	0.480	0.059	8.139	0.000
MSStress	0.027	0.010	2.814	0.005
IntUse	~			
Perform	0.287	0.059	4.882	0.000
Socinf	0.504	0.090	5.614	0.000
Hedonic	0.190	0.085	2.218	0.027
MSStress	0.064	0.016	3.884	0.000
knowMaaS	0.160	0.121	1.321	0.186
Emplodum	-0.307	0.151	-2.028	0.043
Fit				
RMSEA	0.0041			
TLI	0.995			
CFI	0.991			

Discussion

- Knowing the concept was significant for intention to use in all cities.
- Latent variables influence vary among the cities.
- The older the women the more interested in using MaaS, consistent for Bogotá and Manila
- Personalized bundles may play a crucial role in MaaS adoption.
- Pet friendliness seems to be important for mobility services also.

>>> INCOME IS NOT A STRONG PREDICTOR* (at this stage)

 $\bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet$

Conclusion

$\bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet$



MaaS systems should be tailored considering the current regime and landscape of each city.



Explaining the concept may increase the intention to use it.



Home delivery services are worth to be further assessed as a feature of mobility bundles.

- Commuting stress was significant in all three cities, meaning MaaS could be a good solution in cities with highly stressed commuters.
- In the end, performance expectancy was significant in all the cities, meaning in the end people intention to use is usually related to convenience, above other latent variables like the hedonic motivation or sustainability awareness

Questions?

Mauricio.Orozco@tecnico.ulisboa.pt

The author is grateful for the Foundation for Science and Technology's support through funding UI/BD/153578/2022 and the Doctoral Training Network from the EIT Urban Mobility.

Let's connect!





