

Title: Dissecting Opposition to the 15-Minute City in the Post-COVID Era

Authors:

Oriol Marquet. Geography Department, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona.

Monika Maciejewska. Cosmpolis research center. Vrije Universiteit Brussels.

Oriol Roig-Costa. Geography Department, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona.

Abstract

Recent urban planning strategies, particularly the "15-minute city" concept, have catalyzed a wave of global controversy post-COVID-19. This model, advocating for sustainable, proximity-based living where essential amenities are within a 15-minute walk, has faced significant opposition, notably in cities like Oxford, London, and Edmonton. The protests, often spearheaded by right-wing groups and conspiracy theorists, perceive these initiatives as infringements on personal liberties and part of a larger "climate confinement" agenda. Our research investigates this surge in opposition to urban planning strategies, with a focus on the 15-minute city model, contextualized within post-COVID societal shifts. The analysis begins by exploring the historical context of urban planning controversies, highlighting the persistent skepticism towards centralized planning and urban transformations. The research categorizes the criticisms of the 15-minute city model into four distinct tiers, ranging from baseless conspiracy theories to substantial concerns such as social exclusion and gentrification.

The first tier addresses conspiracy theories that link the 15-minute city to overarching control schemes and climate lockdowns, propagated by groups including anti-vaxxers, climate deniers, and far-right activists. These views present a significant challenge to rational urban planning discourse. The second tier explores ideological opposition rooted in long-standing skepticism towards centralized planning, common in conservative and libertarian circles. This opposition reflects broader discomfort with government-led initiatives and perceived threats to individual freedoms. The third tier delves into the resistance stemming from the perceived threat to automobile-dependent lifestyles, underscoring the cultural attachment to personal vehicles as symbols of freedom. This opposition is rooted in a broader ideological clash between values of personal mobility and collective good. The fourth tier addresses legitimate concerns about environmental gentrification and social exclusion, highlighting the potential for the model to exacerbate urban inequalities and marginalize certain social groups reliant on multi-modal transportation.

The research also discusses the role of post-truth tactics in shaping contemporary urban planning debates. It highlights how the COVID-19 experience has amplified mistrust in institutions and the spread of conspiracy theories, challenging the ability to engage in constructive dialogue and consensus-building. Our findings suggest strategies for urban planners to disentangle conspiracy theories from valid criticism. This involves fostering open communication, ensuring procedural integrity, and adapting planning approaches to local contexts. The research advocates for a balance between empirical science-based insights and participatory methods to effectively address pressing urban issues.

We conclude by emphasizing the urgent need for urban planners to engage in narrative battles over urban models and climate change. Stressing the importance of centering human well-being and health in urban planning, while also being mindful of equity and social impact. As environmental and economic challenges escalate, the necessity of developing robust public engagement frameworks and adaptable planning processes that accommodate diverse views and ensure equitable distribution of benefits and burdens among all community members becomes paramount.